

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,777	02/13/2002	Daniel P. Strauser	211591	7167
23460 7	7590 02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			TILL, TERRENCE R	
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780		E 4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/074,777	STRAUSER, DANIEL P.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Terrence R. Till	1744			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fried for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> ⊤l	his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
cl	osed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4 a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)∐ C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	laim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,10-13 and 15-25</u> is/are rejecte	ed.				
·	laim(s) <u>5,9 and 14</u> is/are objected to.	a ala atian maguinamant				
8)[] (laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application	n Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
'	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and altability detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
	f References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/20/04</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant should remove "and methods" from the title of the application as there are no method claims presented.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 includes a parenthetical expression "(or at least a substantial proportion of the debris)". Expressions enclosed by parentheses are considered indefinite as it is unknown if they are to be taken as limitations or not.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 10/074,777 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 6. Claims 16-20, as best understood, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vanderlinden.
- 7. Vanderlinden discloses all of the recited subject matter and with respect to the limitation "such that debris received through said inlet may be deposited upon said debris transport mechanism such that gravity and friction maintain the debris (or at least a substantial proportion of the debris) upon the transport mechanism without the assistance of a scoop or a cleat for a sufficient amount of time to facilitate transportation", Vanderlinden is considered to meet that limitation as the transport mechanism is considered to have a sufficient friction to maintain the debris for a sufficient amount of time. The amount of time not being defined by the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,777

Art Unit: 1744

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanderlinden in view of Zoni.
- The patent to Vanderlinden discloses most of the claimed subject matter with exception of a shaking mechanism connected to the filter 72. The patent to Zoni discloses a similar device in which there is an automatic shaking mechanism 24 attached to the filter 22. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a shaking mechanism to the filter of Vanderlinden in view of the teaching of Zoni in order to remove debris adhered to the filter.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 5, 9 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Claims 22, 23 and 24, as best understood, would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,777 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

trt